

Untitled

10/612641

Phone conversation with Tom Connelly on 8-1-06 @ 11:45 am

Examiner noted that Applicant's amendments necessitated a new grounds of rejection mailed 20 June 2006.

Applicant noted differences between cited prior art and invention included directions of elastics but Examiner noted this limitation is not found in the claims submitted 3/30/06. Any amendments filed after final rejections would probably not be entered as a matter of right since it would include further search and/or consideration.